

# WHITE PAPER



USDA Forest Service

Pacific Northwest Region

Umatilla National Forest

## WHITE PAPER F14-SO-WP-SILV-24

### How to Measure a Big Tree<sup>1</sup>

David C. Powell; Forest Silviculturist  
Supervisor's Office; Pendleton, OR

Initial Version: **JULY 2005**

Most Recent Revision: **DECEMBER 2016**

## HISTORICAL CONTEXT

---

Umatilla National Forest (NF) has a long-standing program to locate, measure, and recognize the largest individual trees of each species (a Big Tree Program). Nominator(s) of a big tree is recognized with a certificate and a non-monetary award, and a yellow placard is attached to the tree so others will know it is registered in Umatilla NF's big-tree program.

Umatilla NF big-tree program was designed to address biological diversity concerns by locating and reserving the largest examples of each tree species occurring on the Forest (reserving them from timber harvest, but this is a recommendation, not a legal or policy requirement). It was also designed to be compatible with national and state programs.

Umatilla National Forest initiated a big-tree program when Forest Supervisor Jim Lawrence approved a pilot project proposal in January of 1988. The program's first nominations did not occur until the 1989 field season, when 13 big-tree forms were submitted.

Umatilla NF big-tree program includes 45 species – a national big-tree list was consulted, and every species in a national program occurring on the Forest was included in our list of qualifying trees, even species that tend to grow locally as shrubs instead of trees.

[Note: Our big-tree program is described in white paper F14-SO-WP-Silv-1, *Big tree program*.]

Since big-tree programs have specific requirements about how to measure trees, and since some of these requirements differ from conventional forestry practice, this guide was developed to help those who would like to measure and submit a big-tree for consideration.

---

<sup>1</sup> White papers are internal reports; they receive only limited review. Viewpoints expressed in this paper are those of the author – they may not represent positions of USDA Forest Service.

## INTRODUCTION

---

To measure a Big Tree, you'll need a few basic tools. In cases where a tree is very large, it would also be nice to have an assistant help with the measurements. If you don't have proper equipment or knowledge to get the job done, please contact the Forest's Big Tree Coordinator and he or she will be happy to assist you.

Before you get started, obtain a blank copy of the Champion Tree Nomination Form. Every tree should be submitted on its own nomination form. You can download a form from Umatilla National Forest's big-tree website: [www.fs.fed.us/r6/uma/nr/silv/bigtree.shtml](http://www.fs.fed.us/r6/uma/nr/silv/bigtree.shtml)

First, you must identify tree species because it is the first item that needs to be recorded on a nomination form. However, some minor tree species may be difficult to identify correctly, in which case you should verify your identification by using a botanical reference such as "Flora of the Pacific Northwest" or a regional tree guide such as "Trees to know in Oregon." A list of tree identification books is included at the end of this document.

If you are uncertain of your identification (is it an alder or a birch?), collect a sample for verification. If you do collect a sample, be sure to include both foliage and fruits if possible.

Each tree you nominate will be scored by using a point system. Points are assigned based on three of the tree's dimensions – its circumference (in inches), its height (in feet), and one-quarter of its crown spread (in feet). A process has been established for measuring these dimensions, and it is described in the remainder of this document.

## TREE DIAMETER OR CIRCUMFERENCE


---

Diameter should be measured with a diameter tape made of steel, not something that might stretch. Although you can wrap a piece of twine around a tree to measure its circumference, this yields only an estimate and it will be necessary to remeasure the diameter with a steel tape.

Diameter is measured at breast height, defined as 4½ feet above average ground level. ***This measurement standard differs from conventional forestry practice, where diameter is also measured at 4½ feet above ground level, but on the uphill side of a tree.***

Diameter measurement problems are often related to the phrase "above average ground level." When trees are growing on a slope or uneven ground, the rule is to measure up 4½ feet from both the uphill and downhill sides, find a point halfway between these two locations (this is the mid-point or average level), and then measure diameter there.

If a slope is so steep that the mid-point ends up below ground level on the uphill side of a tree, then it may be necessary to measure diameter at a point above 4½ feet. In this situation, measure the tree as near to ground level as possible, and record the measurement height on the nomination form. See figure below for an example of this diameter measurement scenario.



**Diameter Measurement Examples.** Example A shows diameter being measured at 4½ feet above average ground level. This can be done in two ways: on an even slope, find the point that is midway between the uphill and downhill sides, measure 4½ feet up from there, and then measure diameter. Or, measure 4½ feet up from both the uphill and downhill sides of the tree, find the mid-point between the two locations, and measure diameter there (e.g., if uphill and downhill points are 12" apart, then the proper place to measure diameter is either 6" below the uphill point or 6" above the downhill point). Example B shows diameter being measured at the soil surface because the tree is growing on a very steep slope. In this situation, it is not uncommon for average ground level to be at the soil surface for the tree's uphill side, or perhaps even below the soil surface. If a soil surface measurement turns out to be higher than 4½ feet above average ground level, note the actual height on the nomination form. Example C shows how to measure diameter for a forked tree. For multi-stemmed trees (those with more than two branches), the largest stem should be selected and measured at 4½ feet. For forked trees (those with two main branches), measure directly below the fork (as shown in the diagram) and note the measurement height on the nomination form.

Measuring diameter can be tricky because trees often grow in unusual situations or come in unusual shapes. Trees that are leaning, growing in hummocky terrain, or have an unusual shape (pistol butts, forks, butt swells or buttresses, etc.) offer a measurement challenge:

1. If there is a growth or branch at breast height, measure diameter at the narrowest point below 4½ feet, and note actual measurement height on the nomination form.
2. For multi-stemmed trees, measure the single largest stem at a point 4½ feet above average ground level. Be careful to evaluate multi-stemmed clumps carefully because it can be difficult to tell if the clump is derived from one or many trees. In some situations, each stem in a clump is obviously part of the same tree; in others, such as whitebark pine, several trees may have grown together (fused at the base) to form a clump.
3. If several individual trees grew together to form a clump, then each individual stem should be evaluated as a separate tree and measured as close to 4½ feet above average ground level as possible.
4. If each stem is obviously derived from a single tree, then judgment is needed: if many stems indicate that the tree is branched below 4½ feet, then measure the single largest branch (stem) at 4½ feet; if only two stems are present, then the tree is forked and you should measure the smallest trunk diameter below the fork, and note actual measurement height

on the nomination form (example C above shows how to measure a forked tree).

Note: When calculating your tree's score for the Forest's "Register of Big Trees," a diameter measurement will be converted, mathematically, to circumference. The national scoring system for big trees has always utilized circumference rather than diameter.

## TREE HEIGHT

---

Height is measured from ground level, on the uphill side of a tree, to the tip of a tree's tallest leader. A height measurement is best obtained by using forestry instruments such as a clinometer or relaskop. Although it's possible to estimate height by using a stick or ruler and a process that won't be described here, this method yields an approximation only. It would then be necessary to revisit the tree and obtain an accurate measurement by using an instrument.

When measuring height for a very tall tree, it's important to get far enough away to stay within the accurate range of the scales in a clinometer or relaskop. Usually, this means a long tape is needed; a 100-foot logger's tape is a minimum, and a 200-foot tape is often required for trees over 150 feet tall.

Measuring tree height on level ground is relatively easy. When working on steep slopes, it can be trickier, and the instrument readings may need to be adjusted to convert from slope distance to horizontal distance and to account for a longer baseline distance.

The examples described next show how to measure tree height in three different situations; all assume use of a common instrument called a clinometer (short for an incline meter).

### **1. Measuring height on flat ground and assuming a 100-foot baseline distance.**


This is the simplest height measurement scenario. With a percent clinometer, which utilizes a 100-foot baseline distance instead of a 66-foot baseline (which is used with a topog clinometer), you can read the top and bottom measurements directly, add them together, and end up with total tree height.

The A (+) readings on a clinometer mean that you are looking up; the B (–) readings mean you are looking down. A level (not up or down) reading would be 0 on a clinometer. In our example below, the A (70) reading was looking up at the top of the tree; the B (5) reading was looking down at its base (where the trunk meets the ground).

To get the tree's total height, you add the top reading (70) and the bottom reading (5) together: 75 feet tall for our example tree.

Directly taking top and bottom readings, and adding them together to obtain a final tree height, is certainly simple, but it will only be this simple when working on flat (level) ground!

Measurement examples 2 and 3 explain how to handle the measurement complications introduced by sloping ground.




Measuring tree height on flat ground by using a percent clinometer and a 100-foot baseline distance.

## 2. Measuring height on sloping ground and assuming a 100-foot baseline distance.

Measuring tree height is a little more complicated for this scenario. When using a clinometer on sloping ground, you must apply a slope correction factor to convert slope distance to horizontal (flat) distance.

Here's a height measurement example for sloping ground:



Measuring tree height on sloping ground by using a percent clinometer and a 100-foot baseline distance.

Here is the process you'd use to measure this tree's height:

- Use a clinometer to measure slope percent from you to your 'eye height' on the tree stem (this sighting line is parallel to the ground surface). In our example, this slope percent is 40.
- Find a slope correction factor in table 1 for the slope percent you just measured (1.08 is


the slope correction factor for 40 percent).

- c. [Note: many clinometers show slope correction factors on the same scale as the slope percent values, which is handy because then you don't have to look them up in a table.]
- d. Multiply the slope correction factor by the baseline distance. In our example with a 100-foot baseline, the result is:  $100 \text{ feet} \times 1.08 = 108 \text{ feet}$ . *This means that on a 40 percent slope, you must be 108 feet away from a tree to get the same answer as if you were 100 feet away on flat ground.*
- e. Back up to 108 feet from the tree before taking clinometer readings of its top and base.
- f. Take clinometer readings of the tree's top and base, and add them together to come up with a total height. In our example, the result is:  $19 + 82 = 101 \text{ feet}$ .

### 3. Measuring height on sloping ground by using a baseline distance other than 100 feet.

It is often hard to measure heights from exactly 100 feet away, particularly if thick brush or downed logs hide your view of a tree's base. And as explained earlier, you will generally want to measure height from more than 100 feet away for very tall trees to stay within the accurate range of an instrument's scales.

For these trickier situations, you'll need to measure height from a distance other than 100 feet, and then adjust clinometer results accordingly. Here's a height measurement example that uses a baseline distance other than 100 feet:



**Measuring tree height on sloping ground by using a percent clinometer and a baseline distance other than 100 feet.**

Here is what you'd do to measure this tree's height:

- a. Use a clinometer to measure a slope percent to eye height on the tree (30 percent in this example).
- b. Get a slope correction factor from table 1 (1.04 for 30 percent).
- c. Multiply the slope correction factor by your baseline distance:  $60 \text{ feet} \times 1.04 = 62.4 \text{ feet}$ .

This calculated value (62.4 feet) is referred to as a slope-corrected baseline distance because **62.4 feet, on a 30% slope, is the same as 60 feet on flat ground** (the flat-ground equivalent, 60 feet in this instance, is referred to as horizontal distance).

- d. Back up to 62.4 feet before taking clinometer readings of the tree's top and base.
- e. Take clinometer readings of the tree's top and base, and add them together. In our example, the result is:  $32 + 74 = 106$ .
- f. Does this mean that the tree is 106 feet tall? No, it does not! A percent clinometer, which is what we've been using for these examples, is intended for a 100-foot baseline, so if you are not 100 feet from a tree (slope-corrected distance), then clinometer readings must be adjusted for a different baseline distance.  
In our example, the slope-corrected baseline distance was only 60 feet, not 100.
- g. Calculate a baseline adjustment factor by dividing your baseline distance by 100:  
 $60 \text{ feet} \div 100 = 0.6$ .
- h. Multiply the sum of clinometer readings (see step e above) by the baseline adjustment factor to finally get a total height for your tree:  $106 \times 0.6 = 63.6$  feet.

**Table 1:** Slope correction factors

<u>SLOPE PERCENT</u>	<u>CORRECTION FACTOR</u>
0 – 9	1.00
10 – 17	1.01
18 – 22	1.02
23 – 26	1.03
27 – 30	1.04
31 – 33	1.05
34 – 36	1.06
37 – 39	1.07
40 – 42	1.08
43 – 44	1.09
45 – 47	1.10
48 – 49	1.11
50 – 51	1.12
52 – 53	1.13
54 – 55	1.14
56 – 57	1.15
58 – 59	1.16
60 – 61	1.17

## CROWN SPREAD

---

Average crown spread is a measurement that we seldom collect as part of our normal job. This differs from diameter or height, both of which are commonly measured when completing stand examinations or timber cruises.

To determine a tree's average crown spread, you first find the widest extent of a tree's crown. This point is marked on the ground. If you bring some wooden stakes along, such as those used for road surveys or plantation survival checks, it will make this part of your measurement job easier.

Here's how it is done. Walk around beneath the tree and visually determine where the tree's branches extend farthest from the stem. Drive a stake in the ground at that point.


Next, follow an imaginary line that goes from the stake to the opposite side of the crown; *the line must pass through the center of the tree's stem*. Line yourself up with the outside of the crown on the opposite side from your first stake (having an assistant help you here is a good idea), and drive a stake at this point.

Then complete the same process to measure the crown width for a line established at a right angle (90°) from your first line. Walk along the 90° line and find the point at which the crown is farthest from the stem. Drive a stake at this point; move to the opposite side of the crown as you did before, and drive a stake there too.

You have now marked the widest and narrowest dimensions of the tree's crown. Measure the distance between the stakes marking the widest part of the crown, in feet. Measure the distance between the stakes marking the 90° line.

Calculate an average crown spread by adding the numbers together, and then dividing the result by two.

Record the average crown spread value on the big-tree nomination form.





## SCORING FORMULA

---

Each tree is evaluated by using a point system – one point for each inch of circumference, one point for each foot of height, and ¼ point for each foot of crown spread.

After measurements have been collected, your tree's final score will be calculated by using this formula developed by American Forests (administrator of a national Big Tree program):

**Circumference (inches) + Height (feet) + ¼ of crown spread (feet) = Score (points)**

If you are starting with a diameter (DBH) in inches, multiply it by  $\pi$  (pi; 3.14) to convert from diameter to circumference.

For example, let's say you submit a western white pine with a 63.1" diameter (measured at 4½ feet above average ground level), a 198' height, and a 24' average crown spread (wide and 90° dimensions averaged together).

Diameter (DBH) would first need to be converted to circumference by multiplying 63.1 by  $\pi$  (3.14), which yields 198.1 (round it to the nearest whole number when using the formula).

So, a final big-tree score for this example tree would then be calculated this way:

**198" circumference + 198' height + 6' (¼ of 24' crown spread) = 402 points**

## TREE REFERENCES

---

This list provides useful tree identification references for the Pacific Northwest. To aid in locating or purchasing them, I have included their ISBN number, which is an international catalog number (each book is assigned its own unique ISBN number; for online ordering, an ISBN number can be entered in a Search box for quick access to a title's information).

**Arno, S.F.; Hammerly, R.P. 1979.** Northwest trees. Seattle, WA: The Mountaineers. 222 p.

[This guidebook covers southern British Columbia, Washington, northern Oregon, northern Idaho, and northwestern Montana. ISBN:0916890503]

**Brayshaw, T.C. 1996.** Trees and shrubs of British Columbia. Vancouver, BC: UBC Press. 374 p.

[Although developed for British Columbia, this guide is useful for the entire Northwest. ISBN:0774805641]

**Elias, T.S. 2000.** The complete trees of North America: field guide and natural history. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company. 948 p.

[This book may be less useful than a regional guide due to its large size. ISBN:0442238622]

**Farrar, J.L. 1995.** Trees of the northern United States and Canada. Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press. 502 p.

[My personal favorite; comprehensive descriptions, beautiful photographs and illustrations, and innovative keys. ISBN:081382740X]

**Harlow, W.M.; Harrar, E.S.; Hardin, J.W.; White, F.M. 1996.** Textbook of dendrology. New

York: McGraw-Hill, Inc. 534 p.

[Provides a complete description for most species, including distinguishing characteristics and range maps. ISBN:0070265720. This is not the most recent edition of this text.]

**Henigman, J.; Ebata, T.; Allen, E.; Westfall, J.; Pollard, A. 2001.** Field guide to forest damage in British Columbia. Joint Pub. Number 17. Victoria, BC: British Columbia Ministry of Forests; Canadian Forest Service. 370 p.

[Includes excellent color photographs; covers a very wide range of forest insects and diseases. ISBN:0772644160]

**Hitchcock, C.L.; Cronquist, A. 1973.** Flora of the Pacific Northwest. Seattle, WA: University of Washington Press. 730 p.

[Primary botanical reference for the Pacific Northwest. Can be difficult to use for a non-botanist. ISBN:0295952733. Recently reissued, after being out of print for quite a while.]

**Jensen, E.C. 2010.** Trees to know in Oregon. Extension Circular 1450. Corvallis, OR: Oregon State University, Extension Service, Extension & Station Communications. 151 p.

[Definitive tree guide for Oregon, including excellent color photographs and an attractive layout. ISBN:1931979049.]

[There is also a companion guide called *Shrubs to Know in Pacific Northwest Forests*; Extension Circular 1640.]

**Johnson, C.G., Jr. 1998.** Common plants of the inland Pacific Northwest. Portland, OR: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region. 394 p.

[Available from Northwest Interpretative Association outlets. Also, a good reference for identifying shrubs, grasses, and forbs. No ISBN number is available. ASIN:B0006FCAXO]

**Kershaw, L.; MacKinnon, A.; Pojar, J. 1998.** Plants of the Rocky Mountains. Edmonton, AB: Lone Pine Publishing. 384 p.

[This is also a good wildflower reference. ISBN:1551050889]

**Kuhns, M. 1998.** Trees of Utah and the intermountain west: a guide to identification and use. Logan, UT: Utah State University Press. 341 p.

[Good line drawing illustrations; ISBN:0874212448]

**Little, E.L. 1980.** The Audubon Society field guide to North American trees; western region. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, Inc. 639 p.

[Excellent color photographs for each species; ISBN:0394507614]

**McMinn, H.E.; Maino, E. 1981.** An illustrated manual of Pacific coast trees. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press. 409 p.

[Comprehensive and with detailed descriptions, but illustrations are just line drawings. ISBN:0520043642]

**Nixon, E.S.; Cunningham, B.L. 2010.** Gymnosperms of the United States and Canada. Nacogdoches, TX: Bruce Lyndon Cunningham Productions. 200 p.

[This guide includes conifers only, and its scope is wide enough that species descriptions are not specific to our area, but illustrations are detailed. ISBN:9780934115032]

- Parish, R. 1995.** Tree book: learning to recognize trees of British Columbia. Victoria, BC: Canadian Forest Service. 183 p.  
[An excellent reference. ISBN:0772621594]
- Parish, R.; Coupe, R.; Lloyd, D. 1996.** Plants of southern interior British Columbia and the inland northwest. Vancouver, BC: Lone Pine Publishing. 463 p.  
[Guide has excellent photos and illustrations. ISBN:1551052199]
- Peattie, D.C. 1980.** A natural history of western trees. Lincoln, NB: University of Nebraska Press. 751 p.  
[Provides natural history information and interesting facts about western trees. Some illustrations are included. ISBN:0803287011]
- Petrides, G.A.; Petrides, O. 1998.** A field guide to western trees. New York: Houghton Mifflin Company. 428 p.  
[Includes nice color photos and drawings. ISBN:0395904544]
- Petrides, G.A.; Petrides, O. 2000.** Trees of the Rocky Mountains and intermountain West. Williamston, MI: Backpacker Field Guide Series. 111 p.  
[This small guide includes black-and-white drawings for each species. ISBN:0964667428]
- Petrides, G.A.; Petrides, O.; Wehr, J. 1993.** Peterson first guide to trees. New York: Houghton Mifflin Company. 128 p.  
[This small guide includes nice color drawings for each species. Its coverage of western trees is limited. ISBN:0395911834]
- Sibley, D.A. 2017.** The Sibley guide to trees. New York: Alfred A. Knopf. 427 p.  
[This is an excellent book. Color drawings are informative and comprehensive. Its coverage of western trees is adequate but not as complete as for eastern species. Tree enthusiasts should have and use this reference! ISBN:978-0-375-41519-7]
- Stuckey, M.; Palmer, G. 1998.** Western trees: a field guide. Helena, MT: Falcon Publishing, Inc. 143 p.  
[Includes black-and-white line drawings for each species. ISBN:1560446234]
- Zim, H.S.; Martin, A.C. 1987.** Trees: a guide to familiar American trees. New York: Golden Books Publishing Company, Inc. 160 p.  
[This familiar, small-format guide is written simply enough for upper elementary and middle school children; it includes color drawings for 143 important species. ISBN:0307240568]

## APPENDIX: SILVICULTURE WHITE PAPERS

---

White papers are internal reports, and they are produced with a consistent formatting and numbering scheme – all papers dealing with Silviculture, for example, are placed in a silviculture series (Silv) and numbered sequentially. Generally, white papers receive only limited review and, in some instances pertaining to highly technical or narrowly focused topics, the papers may receive no technical peer review at all. For papers that receive no review, the viewpoints and perspectives expressed in the paper are those of the author only, and do not necessarily represent agency positions of the Umatilla National Forest or the USDA Forest Service.

Large or important papers, such as two papers discussing active management considerations for dry and moist forests (white papers Silv-4 and Silv-7, respectively), receive extensive review comparable to what would occur for a research station general technical report (but they don't receive blind peer review, a process often used for journal articles).

White papers are designed to address a variety of objectives:

- (1) They guide how a methodology, model, or procedure is used by practitioners on the Umatilla National Forest (to ensure consistency from one unit, or project, to another).
- (2) Papers are often prepared to address ongoing and recurring needs; some papers have existed for more than 20 years and still receive high use, indicating that the need (or issue) has long standing – an example is white paper #1 describing the Forest's big-tree program, which has operated continuously for 25 years.
- (3) Papers are sometimes prepared to address emerging or controversial issues, such as management of moist forests, elk thermal cover, or aspen forest in the Blue Mountains. These papers help establish a foundation of relevant literature, concepts, and principles that continuously evolve as an issue matures, and hence they may experience many iterations through time. [But also note that some papers have not changed since their initial development, in which case they reflect historical concepts or procedures.]
- (4) Papers synthesize science viewed as particularly relevant to geographical and management contexts for the Umatilla National Forest. This is considered to be the Forest's self-selected 'best available science' (BAS), realizing that non-agency commenters would generally have a different conception of what constitutes BAS – like beauty, BAS is in the eye of the beholder.
- (5) The objective of some papers is to locate and summarize the science germane to a particular topic or issue, including obscure sources such as master's theses or Ph.D. dissertations. In other instances, a paper may be designed to wade through an overwhelming amount of published science (dry-forest management), and then synthesize sources viewed as being most relevant to a local context.
- (6) White papers function as a citable literature source for methodologies, models, and procedures used during environmental analysis – by citing a white paper, specialist reports can include less verbiage describing analytical databases, techniques, and so forth, some of which change little (if at all) from one planning effort to another.
- (7) White papers are often used to describe how a map, database, or other product was developed. In this situation, the white paper functions as a 'user's guide' for the new product. Examples include papers dealing with historical products: (a) historical fire extents for the Tucannon watershed (WP Silv-21); (b) an 1880s map developed from General Land Office survey notes (WP Silv-41); and (c) a

description of historical mapping sources (24 separate items) available from the Forest's history website (WP Silv-23).

The following papers are available from the Forest's website: [Silviculture White Papers](#)

<b>Paper #</b>	<b>Title</b>
1	Big tree program
2	Description of composite vegetation database
3	Range of variation recommendations for dry, moist, and cold forests
4	Active management of Blue Mountains dry forests: Silvicultural considerations
5	Site productivity estimates for upland forest plant associations of Blue and Ochoco Mountains
6	Blue Mountains fire regimes
7	Active management of Blue Mountains moist forests: Silvicultural considerations
8	Keys for identifying forest series and plant associations of Blue and Ochoco Mountains
9	Is elk thermal cover ecologically sustainable?
10	A stage is a stage is a stage...or is it? Successional stages, structural stages, seral stages
11	Blue Mountains vegetation chronology
12	Calculated values of basal area and board-foot timber volume for existing (known) values of canopy cover
13	Created opening, minimum stocking, and reforestation standards from Umatilla National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan
14	Description of EVG-PI database
15	Determining green-tree replacements for snags: A process paper
16	Douglas-fir tussock moth: A briefing paper
17	Fact sheet: Forest Service trust funds
18	Fire regime condition class queries
19	Forest health notes for an Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project field trip on July 30, 1998 (handout)
20	Height-diameter equations for tree species of Blue and Wallowa Mountains
21	Historical fires in headwaters portion of Tucannon River watershed
22	Range of variation recommendations for insect and disease susceptibility
23	Historical vegetation mapping
24	How to measure a big tree
25	Important Blue Mountains insects and diseases
26	Is this stand overstocked? An environmental education activity
27	Mechanized timber harvest: Some ecosystem management considerations
28	Common plants of south-central Blue Mountains (Malheur National Forest)
29	Potential natural vegetation of Umatilla National Forest
30	Potential vegetation mapping chronology
31	Probability of tree mortality as related to fire-caused crown scorch
32	Review of "Integrated scientific assessment for ecosystem management in the interior Columbia basin, and portions of the Klamath and Great basins" – Forest vegetation
33	Silviculture facts
34	Silvicultural activities: Description and terminology

<b>Paper #</b>	<b>Title</b>
35	Site potential tree height estimates for Pomeroy and Walla Walla Ranger Districts
36	Stand density protocol for mid-scale assessments
37	Stand density thresholds as related to crown-fire susceptibility
38	Umatilla National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan: Forestry direction
39	Updates of maximum stand density index and site index for Blue Mountains variant of Forest Vegetation Simulator
40	Competing vegetation analysis for southern portion of Tower Fire area
41	Using General Land Office survey notes to characterize historical vegetation conditions for Umatilla National Forest
42	Life history traits for common Blue Mountains conifer trees
43	Timber volume reductions associated with green-tree snag replacements
44	Density management field exercise
45	Climate change and carbon sequestration: Vegetation management considerations
46	Knutson-Vandenberg (K-V) program
47	Active management of quaking aspen plant communities in northern Blue Mountains: Regeneration ecology and silvicultural considerations
48	Tower Fire...then and now. Using camera points to monitor postfire recovery
49	How to prepare a silvicultural prescription for uneven-aged management
50	Stand density conditions for Umatilla National Forest: A range of variation analysis
51	Restoration opportunities for upland forest environments of Umatilla National Forest
52	New perspectives in riparian management: Why might we want to consider active management for certain portions of riparian habitat conservation areas?
53	Eastside Screens chronology
54	Using mathematics in forestry: An environmental education activity
55	Silviculture certification: Tips, tools, and trip-ups
56	Vegetation polygon mapping and classification standards: Malheur, Umatilla, and Wallowa-Whitman National Forests
57	State of vegetation databases on Malheur, Umatilla, and Wallowa-Whitman National Forests
58	Seral status for tree species of Blue and Ochoco Mountains

## REVISION HISTORY

---

**July 2005:** The first version of this white paper was prepared sometime in the mid-1990s to help support implementation of the Umatilla NF's big-tree program (early versions were not saved, so the first version is shown as July 2005).

**December 2016:** Minor formatting and editing changes were made, including adding a white-paper header and assigning a white-paper number; an appendix was added describing the white paper system, including a list of available white papers. An Historical Context section was added.